(table S1) and tested whether the amplitudes explained any variance in guiding behavior, either in isolation or in the multivariate models above. In no case did these acoustic measures explain any variance in the probability of being guided or being shown a bees’ nest (7). Therefore, the honeyguides’ elevated response to the honey-hunting sound is unlikely to be explained by its audibility. Instead, the most parsimonious explanation is that honeyguides associate the honey-hunting sound with successful collaboration. Such partner choice should be adaptive by allowing honeyguides to improve their net benefit from interacting with humans.

These results show that a wild animal correctly attaches meaning and responds appropriately to a human signal of recruitment toward cooperative foraging, a behavior previously associated with only domestic animals, such as dogs (17). Although humans use many species as foraging partners, including falcons, dogs, and cormorants, these involve trained or domesticated individuals that are specifically taught to cooperate. The honeyguide-human relationship is notable in that it involves free-living wild animals whose interactions with humans have probably evolved through natural selection. To our knowledge, the only comparable relationship involves cooperation between artisanal fishermen and free-living dolphins. Several reports exist of men “calling” dolphins to hunt, starting with Pliny the Elder around 70 CE (22). Whether this reflects a similarly specialized communication system to that mediating the honeyguide-human mutualism in Mozambique remains unknown.

How might honeyguides acquire information about honey-hunters’ signals of cooperation? Honeyguides are brood-parasitic and reared by insectivorous hosts (4), which suggests that their propensity to locate bees’ nests and guide humans to them is likely to be innate. However, the “brirr-hrm” human signal studied here is confined to a specific geographical area, and a different cultural group living 1000 km away uses a different signal which is likely to have the same function (9). Local adaptation is unlikely to account for corresponding honeyguide specialization, given a lack of obvious genetic structure across its range (23). This implies that local refinements to guiding behavior are probably learned, which is supported anecdotally by the belief of many Yao honey-hunters that juvenile honeyguides (which have distinctive yellow plumage (4)) are a separate species (called “namandinda”) that, despite being known humans in the manner of an adult honeyguide (“sego”), falls quiet in response to the honey-hunting sound. We propose that learning might occur socially from conspecifics in the vicinity of bees’ nests, resulting in a local cultural tradition among honeyguides that reflects the customs of their human collaborators.
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PROTEIN DESIGN

Accurate design of megadalton-scale two-component icosahedral protein complexes

Jacob B. Bale,1,2 , Shane Gonen,1,3,8 Yu Xi Liu,4,6 William Sheffler,1 Daniel Ellis,5 Chantz Thomas,6 Pulio Casio,4,7,8 Todd O. Yeates,4,7 Tamir Gonen,3 Neil P. King,1,5,7 David Baker1,5,9

Nature provides many examples of self- and co-assembling protein-based molecular machines, including icosahedral protein cages that serve as scaffolds, enzymes, and compartments for essential biochemical reactions and icosahedral virus capsids, which encapsidate and protect viral genomes and mediate entry into host cells. Inspired by these natural materials, we report the computational design and experimental characterization of co-assembling, two-component, 120-subunit icosahedral protein nanostructures with molecular weights (1.8 to 2.8 megadaltons) and dimensions (24 to 40 nanometers in diameter) comparable to those of small viral capsids. Electron microscopy, small-angle x-ray scattering, and x-ray crystallography show that 120 designs spanning three distinct icosahedral architectures form materials closely matching the design models. In vitro assembly of icosahedral complexes from independently purified components occurs rapidly, at rates comparable to those of viral capsids, and enables controlled packaging of molecular cargo through charge complementarity. The ability to design megadalton-scale materials with atomic-level accuracy and controllable assembly opens the door to a new generation of genetically programmable protein-based molecular machines.

The forms and functions of natural protein assemblies have inspired many efforts to engineer self- and co-assembling protein complexes (1–24). A common feature of these approaches, as well as the structures that inspire them, is symmetry. By repeating a small number of interactions in geometric arrangements that are consistent with the formation of regular structures, symmetry reduces the number of distinct interactions and subunits required to form higher-order assemblies (2, 3, 25). Symmetric complexes can be designed to form through self-assembly of a single type of protein subunit or co-assembly of two or more distinct types of protein subunits. Multicomponent materials possess several important advantages, including the potential to control the initiation of assembly by mixing independently prepared components. This property could allow,
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for example, assembly to be performed in the presence of cargo molecules in order to package the cargo inside the designed nanomaterial. Thus far, only relatively small (24-subunit) two-component tetrahedra have been designed with high accuracy (20, 26). Packaging substantial amounts of cargo requires larger assemblies; icosahedral symmetry is the highest of the point group symmetries and therefore generally results in the maximum enclosed volume for a symmetric assembly formed from a protein subunit of a given size (27, 28).

We set out to design two-component icosahedral protein complexes capable of packaging macromolecular cargo through controlled in vitro assembly. The twofold, threefold, and fivefold rotational axes present within icosahedral symmetry provide three possible ways to construct.

Fig. 1. Overview of the design method and target architectures. In (A) to (E), the design process is illustrated with the I53 architecture. (A) An icosahedron is outlined with dashed lines, with fivefold symmetry axes (gray) going through each vertex and threefold symmetry axes (blue) going through each face of the icosahedron. (B) Twelve pentamers (gray) and 20 trimers (blue) are aligned along the fivefold and threefold symmetry axes, respectively. Each oligomer possesses two rigid-body degrees of freedom, one translational (r) and one rotational (ω), that are systematically sampled to identify (C) configurations with (D) a large interface between the pentamer and trimer that makes them suitable for protein-protein interface design; only the backbone structure and beta carbons of the oligomers are taken into account during this procedure. (E) Amino acid sequences are designed at the new interface to stabilize the modeled configuration. (F) The I52 architecture comprises 12 pentamers (gray) and 30 dimers (orange) aligned along the fivefold and twofold icosahedral symmetry axes. (G) The I32 architecture comprises 20 trimers (blue) and 30 dimers (orange) aligned along the threefold and twofold icosahedral symmetry axes.

Fig. 2. Experimental characterization by SEC and SAXS. Computational design models (left), SEC chromatograms (middle), and SAXS profiles (right) are shown for (A) I53-34, (B) I53-40, (C) I53-47, (D) I53-50, (E) I52-03, (F) I52-32, (G) I52-33, (H) I32-06, (I) I32-19, and (J) I32-28. Design models (shown to scale relative to the 30-nm scale bar) are viewed down one of the fivefold symmetry axes, with ribbon-style renderings of the protein backbone (pentamers are shown in gray, trimers in blue, and dimers in orange). Co-expressed and purified designs yield dominant SEC peaks near the expected elution volumes for the target 120-subunit complexes and x-ray scattering intensities (gray dots) that match well with profiles calculated from the design models (green). Alternative configurations of the designs, generated by translating the oligomeric building blocks in the design models by ±10 Å and/or rotating them about their aligned symmetry axes by ±20°, generally fit worse with the SAXS data than the original design models do (the range of values obtained from fitting the alternative configurations is shown with light blue shading).
such complexes from pairwise combinations of oligomeric building blocks; we refer to these architectural types as I53, I52, and I32 (fig. S1). The I53 architecture is formed from a combination of 12 pentamer building blocks and 20 trimeric building blocks aligned along the fivefold and threefold icosahedral symmetry axes, respectively (Fig. 1, A to E; I53 stands for icosahedral assembly constructed from pentamers and trimers). Similarly, the I52 architecture is formed from 12 pentamers and 30 dimers (Fig. 1F), and the I32 architecture is formed from 20 trimers and 30 dimers, each aligned along their corresponding icosahedral symmetry axes (Fig. 1G). To generate novel icosahedral assemblies, 14,400 pairs of pentamers and trimers, 50,400 pairs of pentamers and dimers, and 276,150 pairs of trimers and dimers derived from x-ray crystal structures (tables S1 to S3) were arranged as described above, with each building block allowed to rotate around and translate along its fivefold, threefold, or twofold symmetry axis. These degrees of freedom were systematically sampled to identify configurations that would be suitable for interface design, as assessed by several parameters, including the size and secondary structure content of the newly formed interface and the relative orientation of backbone elements on the two sides of the interface. Protein-protein interface design calculations were then carried out on the resulting 66,115 designs of type I53, 35,468 designs of type I52, and 161,007 designs of type I32. The designs were filtered based on a variety of metrics, including interface area, predicted binding energy, and shape complementarity (29). Seventy-one designs of type I53, 44 of type I52, and 68 of type I32—derived from 23 distinct pentameric, 57 distinct trimeric, and 91 distinct dimeric protein scaffolds—were selected for experimental characterization (figs. S2 to S5 and table S4).

Codon-optimized genes encoding each pair of designed sequences were cloned into a vector for inducible coexpression in Escherichia coli, with a hexahistidine tag appended to the N or C terminus of one subunit in each pair. The proteins were expressed at a small scale and purified by immobilized metal-affinity chromatography (IMAC); clarified lysates and purification products were subjected to gel electrophoresis under denaturing conditions to screen for soluble expression and copurification of the hexahistidine-tagged and nontagged subunits (fig. S6A). Designs that appeared to copurify were subsequently analyzed by nondenaturing gel electrophoresis to screen for slowly migrating species as an additional indication of assembly into higher-order materials (fig. S6B). Those found to both copurify and assemble were expressed at a larger scale and purified by IMAC, which was followed by size exclusion chromatography (SEC; fig. S7). Ten designs—four I53 (I53-34, I53-40, I53-47, and I53-50), three I52 (I52-03, I52-32, and I52-33), and three I32 (I32-06, I32-19, and I32-28)—yielded major SEC peaks near the elution volumes expected based on the diameters of the design models (Fig. 2 and table S4). Two other designs, I53-51 and I32-10, also appeared to form large, discrete assemblies, but their structures could not be verified by subsequent experiments (supplementary text and figs. S8 and S9).

Small-angle x-ray scattering (SAXS) performed on the SEC-purified samples indicated that all 10 designs form assemblies similar to the intended three-dimensional configurations in solution. The experimentally measured SAXS profiles are feature-rich and distinct, with multiple large dips in scattering intensity in the region between 0.015 and 0.15 Å⁻¹, each of which is closely recapitulated in profiles calculated from the design models (Fig. 2) (30). To further evaluate how accurately and uniquely the design models match the experimental data, each was compared with a set of alternative models generated by systematically perturbing the radial displacements and/or the rotations of the building blocks in each design by ±0.1 and ±20°, respectively. The vast majority of alternative configurations were found to produce worse fits to the experimental data than the original design models (Fig. 2), suggesting that the materials assemble precisely in solution.

The information provided by SAXS about the overall ensemble of the structures observed in solution for each design was complemented and corroborated by visualization of individual particles by negative-stain electron microscopy (EM). Micrographs of I53-34, I53-40, I53-47, I53-50, I52-03, I52-33, I32-06, and I32-28 show fields of particles with the size and shape of the design models, and particle averaging yielded
Fig. 4. Crystal structures, assembly dynamics, and packaging. Design models (top) and x-ray crystal structures (bottom) of (A) I53-40, (B) I52-32, and (C) I32-28. Views are shown to scale along the threefold, twofold, and fivefold icosahedral symmetry axes. Pentamers are shown in gray, trimers in blue, and dimers in orange. RMSDs are between crystal structures and design models over all backbone atoms in all 120 subunits. (D) In vitro assembly dynamics of I53-50. A schematic is shown in the upper panel. Below, normalized static light-scattering intensity is plotted over time after mixing independently expressed and purified variants of the I53-50 trimer and pentamer in a 1:1 molar ratio at final concentrations of 8, 16, 32, or 64 μM (blue, orange, gray, and black solid lines, respectively, representing detector voltage). Intensities measured from SEC-purified assembly at concentrations of 8, 16, 32, or 64 μM are indicated with dashed horizontal lines and used as the expected end point of each assembly reaction. The midpoint of each reaction is marked with a dashed vertical line. (E) Encapsulation of supercharged GFP in a positively charged I53-50 variant. A schematic is shown in the upper panel (bright green, GFP). SEC chromatograms and SDS-PAGE analyses of packaging and assembly reactions are shown below. The reactions were performed in either 65 mM NaCl (top and bottom) or 1 M NaCl (middle). I53-50A.1PT1 and I53-50B.4PT1 are variants of the trimeric and pentameric components of I53-50 bearing several mutations to positively charged residues; I53-50A.1 is a control variant of the trimeric component that lacks these mutations (supplementary text). In each case, the same buffer used in the packaging and assembly reaction was also used during SEC. Absorbance measurements at 280 nm (black) and 488 nm (green) are shown. Each SEC chromatogram was normalized relative to the 280-nm peak near 12 ml elution volume. Locations of 37-, 25-, 20-, and 15-kDa–molecular weight markers on SDS-PAGE gels are indicated by horizontal lines to the left of the gels.
distinct structures clearly matching the models (Fig. 3). The large trimeric and pentameric voids observed in the I52 and I32 averages, for instance, closely resemble the cavities in projections generated from the corresponding design models when viewed down the threefold and fivefold symmetry axes, respectively. The tur- reted morphology of the I53-50 and I52-33 design models and projections, resulting from pentameric and dimeric components that pro- trude away from the rest of the icosahedral shell, are also readily apparent in the corre- sponding class averages. Although the results from SEC and SAXS strongly indicate that I52-32 and I32-19 form assemblies closely matching the design models in solution, both appear to be unstable under the conditions encountered during grid preparation, yielding broken particles that were not suitable for further EM anal- ysis (fig. S10).

To further evaluate the accuracy of our de- signs, x-ray crystal structures were determined for one material from each of the three architec- tural types: I53-40, I52-32, and I32-28 (Fig. 4 and table S5). Although the resolution of the struc- tures (3.5 to 5.6 Å) is insufficient to permit de- tailed analysis of the side chains at the designed interfaces, backbone-level comparisons show that the building block interfaces were designed with high accuracy, giving rise to 120-subunit complexes that match the computational design models very well. Comparing pairs of interface subunits from each structure with the design models yields backbone root mean square deviations (RMSDs) between 0.2 and 1.1 Å, whereas the RMSD over all 120 subunits in each material ranges from 0.8 to 2.7 Å (Fig. 4, A to C, and table S6). With diameters between 26 and 31 nm, over 130,000 heavy atoms, and molecular weights greater than 1.9 MDa, these structures are com- parable in size to small viral capsids and, to our knowledge, are the largest designed biomol- ecular nanostructures to date to be verified by x-ray crystallography (fig. S11).

The multicomponent composition of the mater- ials presents the possibility of controlling their assembly through in vitro mixing of independent- ly produced building blocks (20). Taking advan- tage of this feature, the assembly kinetics of an I53-50 variant (fig. S12A) with improved indi- vidual subunit stability were investigated by light scattering (supplementary materials). SEC- peak data were fit with five exponents, indi- cations of 64, 32, 16, or 8 μM, and the change in light scattering was monitored over time (Fig. 4D). Assembly was roughly halfway complete within 1 min at 64 and 32 μM, within 3 min at 16 μM, and within 10 min at 8 μM. Similar assembly time scales have been observed for several viral capsids (31, 32). Because our design process foc- used exclusively on structure without any con- sideration of kinetics, these results raise the interesting possibility that the rate of assembly of these viral capsids has not been highly opti- mized during evolution.

The ability to assemble the materials in vitro potentially enables the controlled packaging of macromolecular cargoes. To investigate this possibility, the trimeric and pentameric com- ponents of an I53-50 variant with several mu- tations to positively charged residues on its interior surface (supplementary materials) were successively mixed with a supercharged green fluorescent protein (GFP) with a net charge of 30 (33), and encapsulation was evaluated using SEC followed by SDS–polyacrylamide gel elec- trophoresis (PAGE) of relevant fractions (Fig. 4E and supplementary materials). When both the packaging reaction and SEC were performed in a buffer containing low (65 mM) NaCl, GFP (~30) and both I53-50 components coeluted from the column at the same elution volume previously observed for unmodified I53-50 (Fig. 2D). Mix- tures of GFP (~30) with only one of the two com- ponents eluted at later volumes, indicating that the observed coelution requires assembly of I53-50 (fig. S12, B to D). When the packaging reaction was carried out in a buffer containing high (1 M) NaCl or using a variant of the trimeric and pentameric compo- nents eluted at later volumes, indicating that packaging is driven by the engineered elec- trostatic interactions between the I53-50 interior and GFP (~30). High-salt incubation resulted in disassociation of packaged GFP (fig. S12E), as has also been observed for an evolved variant of a naturally occurring protein container that packages cargo by means of electrostatic com- plementarity (34, 35). Based on measurements of fluorescence intensity and ultraviolet-visible light absorbance, we estimate that about 7 to 11 GFPs are packaged per icosahedral assem- bly in 65 mM NaCl, occupying roughly 11% to 17% of the interior volume (supplementary materials).

How do the architectures of our designs compare to those of virus capsids and other icos- hedral protein complexes found in nature? Our designs obey strict icosahedral symmetry, with the asymmetric unit in each case containing a heterodimer that comprises one subunit from each of the two components. The most similar naturally occurring structures of which we are aware are cowpea mosaic virus (CPMV) and related 120-subunit capsids with pseudo T = 3 symmetry (T refers to the triangulation number (27)). Like our I53 designs, CPMV is composed of 60 copies each of two distinct protein subunits, with one type of subunit arranged around the icosahedral fivfold and a second type of sub- unit arranged around the threefolds (fig. S13). However, the two subunits of CPMV are com- posed of three similar domains occupying spa- tially equivalent positions to those found in T = 3 assemblies formed from 180 copies of a single type of protein subunit (36, 37). Our I53 designs display no such underlying pseudosymmetry and therefore cannot be considered to be pseudo T = 3. Furthermore, we are not aware of any natural protein complexes characterized to date that exhibit I52 or I32 architectures. Our designs thus appear to occupy new regions of the protein assembly universe, which either have not yet been explored by natural evolution or are undiscovered at present in natural systems.

The size and complexity of the materials pres- ented here, together with the accuracy with which they assemble, push the boundaries of bio- molecular engineering into new territory. The large lumens of our designed materials, combined with their multicomponent nature and the ability to control assembly through mixing of pur- ified components, make them well suited for encapsulation of a broad range of materials in- cluding small molecules, nucleic acids, polymers, and other biological components. These features, along with the precision and modularity with which they can be engineered, make our designed nanomateri- als attractive starting points for new approaches to targeted drug delivery, vaccine design, and bioenergy.
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Mitochondrial endonuclease G mediates breakdown of paternal mitochondria upon fertilization
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Mitochondria are inherited maternally in most animals, but the mechanisms of selective mitochondrial elimination (PME) are unknown. While examining fertilization in Caenorhabditis elegans, we observed that paternal mitochondria rapidly lose their inner membrane integrity. CPS-6, a mitochondrial endonuclease G, serves as a paternal mitochondrial factor that is critical for PME. We found that CPS-6 relocates from the intermembrane space of paternal mitochondria to the matrix after fertilization to degrade mitochondrial DNA. It acts with maternal autophagy and proteasome machineries to promote PME. Loss of CPS-6 delays breakdown of mitochondrial inner membranes, autophagosome enclosure of paternal mitochondria, and PME. Delayed removal of paternal mitochondria causes increased embryonic lethality, demonstrating that PME is important for normal animal development. Thus, CPS-6 functions as a paternal mitochondrial degradation factor during animal development.

mitochondria are critical for many cellular processes including cellular respiration, apoptosis, and metabolism, and they possess their own genome (mtDNA) (1,2). However, only maternal mitochondria are passed on to progeny. Although elimination of parental mtDNA can occur at various developmental stages through different mechanisms (3), it is unclear how and why parental mitochondria are selectively eliminated after fertilization during embryo development (3, 4).

To address these questions, we examined paternal mitochondria in C. elegans spermatozoa and embryos by electron microscopy (EM) and tomography. Mitochondria in wild-type (N2) spermatozoa are spherical (fig. S1A), with an average diameter of 464 ± 68 nm (SD), and their cristae, formed by extensive infolding of the inner membrane, uniformly distribute in the matrix (Fig. 1A). Paternal mitochondria in N2 zygotes are readily distinguished from the tubular and thinner maternal mitochondria (with an average width of 238 ± 57 nm; fig. S1B). Notably, all paternal mitochondria in N2 zygotes have multiple dark aggregates (agg) in the matrix that form promptly after their entry into oocytes (Fig. 1, B and E, fig. S1, B to G, and movie S1).

The double-layer membranes from autophagosomes have started to assemble around some paternal mitochondria at this stage (fig. S1B). We named paternal mitochondria containing small aggregates that lack nearby autophagosomes “small agg PM” (Fig. 1B). Those containing larger aggregates that are associated with autophagosomes are called “large agg PM” (Fig. 1C), and those with few cristae and enclosed in an autophagosome are called “ghost PM” (Fig. 1D). Many small agg PM arise independently of the autophagy machinery (Fig. 1, B and E, fig. S1, B to G, and movie S1). Large agg PM and ghost PM are observed in N2 zygotes but are mostly seen in two- or four-cell-stage embryos (Fig. 1, C to E).

In large agg PM, cristae are cleared from the central region as the aggregates enlarge in the matrix (Fig. 1C), which occurs before autophagosomes enclose paternal mitochondria. Once enclosed by autophagosomes, they lose matrix contents except for some remaining aggregates, but their outer membrane does not rupture until most of the cristae have disappeared (Fig. 1D and fig. S1H). These results suggest that paternal mitochondria are destroyed partly in embryos by self-initiated internal breakdown prior to autophagosome assembly and degradation.

To identify intrinsic mitochondrial factors involved in paternal mitochondrial elimination (PME), we performed an RNA interference (RNAi) screen against 217 genes, selected to encode mitochondrial proteins (table S1), using a sensitive polymerase chain reaction (PCR)–based method and a 3053–base pair (bp) mtDNA deletion allele (uadF5; Fig. 2A) to track the fate of mtDNA (5). uadF5 mtDNA was detected in cross-progeny at all developmental stages from mating of N2 males with uadF5+/+ heteroplasmic hermaphrodites (fig. S2A) but was detected only in early embryos, not in late embryos nor in larval cross-progeny from N2 hermaphrodites mated with uadF5+/+ males (Fig. 2B); these findings indicate that PME is conserved in C. elegans (5–7). RNAi of the cps-6 gene, which encodes a homolog of human mitochondrial endonuclease G (8, 9), caused persistence of
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Designed to assemble
Symmetric macromolecular structures that form cages, such as viral capsids, have inspired protein engineering. Bale et al. used pairwise combinations of dimeric, trimeric, or pentameric building blocks to design two-component, 120-subunit protein complexes with three distinct icosahedral architectures. The capsid-like nanostructures are large enough to hold nucleic acids or other proteins, and because they have two components, the assembly of cargoes such as drugs and vaccines can be done in a controlled way.
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